APPEAL NO. 020388 FILED APRIL 3, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on January 22, 2002. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issue by determining that the appellant's (claimant) compensable injury on ______, did not extent to and include a psychological component and that the claimant had no disability from August 20, 2000, through May 5, 2001. The claimant appealed the hearing officer's determinations on sufficiency grounds. There is no response in the appeal file from the respondent (carrier).

DECISION

Affirmed.

The claimant testified that she had sustained a low back injury when she fell on some stairs on ______; that she was pregnant when she fell; that she has not been able to work since the date of injury; and that she has depression since the date of the injury. The carrier presented evidence to support its assertion that the claimant had no work-related disability during the period in question and that the injury did not extend to and include depression.

There is conflicting evidence in this case. The 1989 Act makes the hearing officer the sole judge of the weight and credibility to be given to the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the trier of fact, the hearing officer may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Texas Workers' Compensation Appeal No. 950084, decided February 28, 1995. A fact finder is not bound by the testimony (or evidence) of a medical witness where the credibility of that testimony (or evidence) is manifestly dependent upon the credibility of the information imparted to the medical witness by the claimant. Rowland v. Standard Fire Insurance Company, 489 S.W.2d 151 (Tex. Civ. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1972, writ ref'd n.r.e.). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision to determine the factual sufficiency of the evidence, we should set aside the decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Appeal No. 950084, *supra*. We conclude that the hearing officer's findings, conclusions, and decision are supported by sufficient evidence and that they are not so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

GARY SUDOL ZURICH NORTH AMERICA 9330 LBJ FREEWAY, SUITE 1200 DALLAS, TEXAS 75243.

Susan M. Kelley
Appeals Judge